

Are health and ill-health lessons from hunter-gatherers currently relevant?

Dear Sir:

In their Special Article in the March 2000 issue of the Journal, Cordain et al (1) discussed plant-animal subsistence ratios and macronutrient energy estimations in worldwide hunter-gatherer diets. They noted from the various reports on the societies of hunter-gatherers that these people were relatively free of many of the chronic degenerative diseases and symptoms that pervade modern societies. Accordingly, Cordain et al recommended that "the macronutrient characteristics of hunter-gatherer diets may provide insight into potentially therapeutic dietary recommendations for contemporary populations."

First, it must be stressed that relative freedom from degenerative disorders or diseases was, and still is, characteristic of all societies of hunter-gatherers. This prevailed whether the diets had a high fat content, supplying 28–58% of energy, as reported in the societies studied by Cordain et al (1), or a far lower fat content, as indicated in the societies of hunter-gatherer agriculturalists described by Milton (2) in her Editorial on the subject. Further, this relative freedom from degenerative diseases is equally characteristic of all of the numerous rural pastoral societies in Africa, which, until very recently, have been accustomed almost wholly to diets with a relatively low contribution to energy by fat of 15–20% (3). In such contexts, obesity and diabetes had a low prevalence (4); indeed, this is still the case in some rural areas. Coronary heart disease (CHD) is still virtually absent and is rarely seen in patients admitted to rural hospitals (5). Simultaneously, in rural contexts, the cancers of prosperity are uncommon, although, understandably, they are increasing in urban dwellers (6). Thus, with limited exceptions, the nutritional pattern of the diet of rural Africans could still serve as a model for possible implementation in Western populations. In support of the uncommonness of deaths from degenerative diseases, in South Africa, as recently as 1970, of Africans who reached the age of 50 y, even more reached an age ≥ 70 y than was the case with the white population (7). Elderly Africans died almost entirely from infections. Two features insufficiently stressed were their very high levels of everyday physical activity and low levels of smoking, especially among women.

Of much greater import to the situation at issue, this rarity of CHD in African patients in rural hospitals, is the similarity of the situation in the wards of Massachusetts General Hospital as late as 1910–1920, when CHD was considered rare (8). Hence, what were Americans, most of whom were very poor, doing in their lifestyle that made them different from subsequent generations

among whom the disease became extremely common, and still remains so despite major decreases in mortality rate (9)? Instead of seeking nutritional lessons from primitive communities and from developing populations, it would be far more pragmatic to try to learn more from certain present-day Western populations who have much less CHD than do other populations. In this respect, the recent MONICA Study showed the CHD mortality rate in Spain to be only one-fifth of that in Poland (10). In the United States, the age-adjusted death rate from CHD in New Mexico is less than half of that in New York (11). To reiterate, which beneficial characteristics of these lesser prone but sophisticated populations lend themselves to adoption?

Crucially, however, even if highly apposite and practicable information were forthcoming, would it really be put into practice? Before we answer this question, it is imperative to keep in mind the current context of long life, namely, that despite high mortalities from degenerative diseases, expectations of life are now at their highest (≈ 75 y for men and 80 y for women). This implies the enjoyment of a long life even by individuals with unfavorable lifestyles (eg, in regard to CHD, about three-quarters of cases occur after age 65 y). There is near universal reluctance to make changes for the lengthening of life. Thus, concerning the risk factor obesity, probably all is known that needs to be known for its successful treatment. Yet, in the United States, with the present rate of increase in obesity, it has been predicted that all Americans will be obese by 2230 (12). In Australia it was noted that only 6% of articles about cardiovascular disease risk factors in a MEDLINE search and 5% of articles in a medical magazine search discussed exercise prescription or how to start and maintain an exercise program (13). As to combating other important risk factors, a recent study in the United States showed that cholesterol-lowering medications are underutilized, even according to the narrowest indications for use (14). Furthermore, it was stated that national guidelines on the treatment of hypertension had little effect on prescribing patterns of antihypertensive medications. It was emphasized that greater attention must be paid to educating health care providers, so that treatments of proven benefit are implemented. As related by *Minerva* (15) as an example of resistance to change, "Health educators have a tough time persuading people to eat broccoli when chocolate, pop tarts, and sticky buns are everywhere, so US researchers have tried recruiting teams of trusted workers to pester their friends and colleagues to eat better. A lengthy and expensive programme of peer education, which included some intrusive sales techniques, led to participants eating about half an extra portion of fruit and vegetables a day. The authors don't say how many friends the peer educators lost in the process." In brief, no matter what efficacious lifestyle changes are recommended, whether they be derived from past or from present

experiences of populations, they seem almost irrelevant because they will be very largely ignored.

Alexander RP Walker

Human Biochemistry Research Unit
Department of Tropical Diseases
School of Pathology of the University of
the Witwatersrand and the
South African
Institute for Medical Research
Johannesburg 2000
South Africa
E-mail: alexw@mail.saimr.wits.ac.za

REFERENCES

1. Cordain L, Brand Miller J, Eaton SB, et al. Plant-animal subsistence ratios and macronutrient energy estimates in worldwide hunter-gatherer diets. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:682–92.
2. Milton K. Hunter-gatherer diets—a different perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:665–7.
3. Walker ARP, Walker BF, Walker AJ. Comparison of nutrient intakes of South African elderly rural black women in 1969 and 1989. *J Hum Nutr Dietet* 1992;5:169–77.
4. Okesina AB, Oparinde DO, Akindoyin KA, Erasmus RT. Prevalence of some risk factors of coronary heart disease in a rural Nigerian population. *East Afr Med J* 1999;76:212–6.
5. Walker ARP, Sareli P. Coronary heart disease: outlook for Africa. *J R Soc Med* 1997;90:23–7.
6. Walker ARP. Cancer outlook: an African perspective. *J R Soc Med* 1995;88:5–18.
7. Walker ARP. Survival rate at middle age in developing and western populations. *Postgrad Med J* 1974;50:29–32.
8. White PD. The tardy growth of preventive cardiology. *Am J Cardiol* 1972;29:886–8.
9. Rosamond WD, Chambless LE, Folsom AR, et al. Trends in the incidence of myocardial infarction and in mortality due to coronary heart disease, 1987 to 1997. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:861–7.
10. Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Mahonen M, Tolonen H, Ruokoski E, Amouyel P. Contribution of trends in survival and coronary-event rates to changes in coronary heart disease mortality: 10-year results from 37 WHO MONICA project populations. Monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease. *Lancet* 1999;353:1547–57.
11. Trends in ischemic heart disease deaths—United States, 1990–1994. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 1997;46:146–50.
12. Foreyt JP. An etiologic approach to obesity. *Hosp Pract* 1997;32: 123–48.
13. Dupen F, Bauman AE, Lin R. The sources of risk factor information for general practitioners: is physical activity under-recognised? *Med J Aust* 1999;171:601–3.
14. Siegel D, Meier J. Use of cholesterol-lowering medications in the United States from 1991 to 1997. *Am J Med* 2000;108:496–8.
15. Views. *Minerva. Br Med J* 1999;319:930.

Reply to ARP Walker

Dear Sir:

We appreciated and enjoyed Walker's constructive comments and interesting insights and agree with many, but not all, of his

conclusions. Numerous epidemiologic data support the notion that increasing Westernization and industrialization in human populations is associated with a greater incidence of chronic degenerative diseases. It is almost axiomatic that changes in diet and activity levels initiated by Westernization and industrialization are largely responsible for these health disorders. As human societies stray farther and farther from the original environmental conditions (both diet and exercise) for which our present genome was selected, it is not unexpected that ill-health effects should emerge (1, 2).

We have little doubt that some, but not all, lifestyle characteristics of rural Africans and many of the world's other less industrialized people could serve as a model to benefit the health and well-being of Western populations. However, the reason certain of these lifestyle variables are advantageous is that they are consistent with those of Stone Age hunter-gatherers that in turn represent the lifestyle characteristics for which our species is genetically adapted. High levels of physical activity are required of both hunter-gatherers (2) and rural Gambian subsistence farmers (3) and similarly may provide both of these groups with protection from degenerative disorders and disease. However, the proximate mechanisms of exercise's therapeutic effect are not specifically intrinsic to subsistence farming but, rather, stem ultimately from the rigors and selective pressures dictated by the physical requirements of the hunter-gatherer lifestyle that shaped the present human genome over >2 million years of evolutionary experience. Similarly, it was found that increased dietary intakes of n–3 fatty acids may provide protection from chronic disease in highly industrialized societies such as Japan (4) and in partially Westernized hunter-gatherers such as the Inuit (5). The ultimate evolutionary reason these fatty acids afford protection for these diverse populations is based on our species' genetically determined requirement for them, which in turn was shaped by the environmental selective pressures that fashioned the present human genome. By examining the original environmental conditions for which our present genome was selected during the Paleolithic Era (the Old Stone Age, lasting from 2.6 million y ago until the agricultural revolution 10000 y ago), it is possible to gain insight into optimal lifestyle characteristics that may be of therapeutic value for modern populations experiencing degenerative disorders.

*Loren Cordain
Janette Brand Miller
S Boyd Eaton
Neil Mann*

Department of Health and Exercise Science
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO 80523
Email: cordain@cahs.colostate.edu

REFERENCES

1. Eaton SB, Konner M, Shostak M. Stone agers in the fast lane: chronic degenerative diseases in evolutionary perspective. *Am J Med* 1988;84:739–49.
2. Cordain L, Gotshall RW, Eaton SB, Eaton SB III. Physical activity, energy expenditure and fitness: an evolutionary perspective. *Int J Sports Med* 1998;19:328–35.
3. Diaz E, Goldberg GR, Taylor M, et al. Effects of dietary supplementation on work performance in Gambian laborers. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1991;53:803–11.

4. Sugano M. Characteristics of fats in Japanese diets and current recommendations. *Lipids* 1996;31:s-28-6.
5. Bang HO, Dyerberg J. Lipid metabolism and ischemic heart disease in Greenland Eskimos. *Adv Nutr Res* 1980;3:1-22.

Reply to ARP Walker

Dear Sir:

It is gratifying to see that Walker's letter supports my recent Editorial (1), which pointed out that hunter-gatherer societies are largely free of chronic degenerative diseases despite notable differences in plant-animal subsistence ratios and macronutrient energy patterns. Walker, whose expertise on this topic is widely recognized, expands on this point, discussing the relative freedom from degenerative disease that is characteristic of all pastoral societies in Africa (who consume low-fat diets) and of other rural African populations.

In keeping with his letter's title, Walker then suggests that, rather than seek health and ill-health lessons from hunter-gatherers, it might be more relevant to study present-day Western populations that vary in their incidence of degenerative disease. I assume that he suggests this because, in the near future, most or all human populations worldwide will probably be Westernized. Thus, regardless of how healthful the hunter-gatherer lifestyle and diet may be, no one will live under such conditions. But, as Walker suggests, if we could determine why some Western populations show less evidence of certain degenerative diseases than do others, we could emulate the more healthful patterns. Walker concludes his letter somewhat pessimistically, noting that, even if such recommendations were forthcoming, current evidence suggests that they would largely be ignored.

The comparative studies that Walker advocates are of considerable value and importance. However, because human biology appears to have altered little over the course of human evolution (most human adaptations having been cultural rather than biological), it seems that casting a wide net would produce a more complete picture. We need to bear in mind that the contemporary Western lifestyle is only an experiment in progress. In contrast, the hunter-gatherer way of life has been time-tested and proven for >2 million y.

True, we can only speculate about dietary proportions of ancestral hunter-gatherers. But other relevant dietary information can be determined from the fossil record, and our ability to recover such information is constantly improving (2). Archaeological and skeletal remains permit us to trace the changes in human health that accompanied the dietary transition to agriculture and estimate the length of time a population may have used a given plant or animal staple (3). Detailed information about nutrient characteristics of wild foods shows important ways in which hunter-gatherer diets differ from contemporary Western diets (4-6)—and here I am referring not to processed modern foods but to differences in the nutrient content of fresh cultivated compared with wild plant foods and domesticated compared with wild animal foods. Study of hunter-gatherer behavior shows that most hunter-gatherers have a very active, physically demanding lifestyle. In addition, our investigation does not have to be restricted to humans. For exam-

ple, examination of the natural diets of wild apes and monkeys shows interesting differences between the nutrient patterns of their diets and those of contemporary Westerners (6).

Walker is justly concerned because many people appear to ignore diet-related suggestions that could improve their health and longevity. Research with hunter-gatherers may provide clues as to why people behave in this manner. Although considerable material has been published on the dietary behaviors of some hunter-gatherer societies, quantitative data are generally scant and there is a strong need for more detailed study of this topic while time permits.

It seems that many hunter-gatherer diets consist largely of the same foods each day. Most wild foods are low in energy, and it often requires tremendous effort to secure a sufficiency. For example, indigenous Amazonians, both men and woman, typically devote ≥ 8 h/d to subsistence activities (7). Rare, energy-rich wild foods seem particularly critical for children and women because of the costs of growth and reproduction, respectively. Fat reserves are also necessary to survive seasonal low points in overall food availability (8, 9).

In contemporary Western nations, it makes perfect sense that a well-nourished person who has already consumed sufficient energy for a 24-h period does not need to eat a piece of cake. Why do most of us reach for that cake more or less automatically? Perhaps it is because we are "programmed" through our common evolutionary heritage as hunter-gatherers to be particularly responsive to foods that appear rich in energy (8, 9).

For similar reasons, we can predict that people might show resistance to changing the features of their customary diet, even when such changes would prove beneficial. Smith and Smith (10) compared 3 diets of northwestern Australian Aborigines over the period 1890-1970: their diet as hunter-gatherers, their diet when they lived on cattle stations where some Western staples were available, and their diet in contemporary Aboriginal communities in which Western foods could be self-selected.

Comparison of the 3 diets with a modern recommended diet supported the nutritional adequacy of the hunter-gatherer diet (wild cereal and fresh plus dried fruit with a moderate amount of meat). However, there was a common link among the 3 diets in that they all represented a relatively unchanging Aboriginal evaluation of the worth of several major kinds of food despite the radically changing availability of these foods. Such traditional evaluations in the context of Western rather than wild foods resulted, for example, in a dramatic increase in the proportion of dietary energy from fat and lower intakes of some vitamins (10). Dietary changes were accompanied by altered patterns of disease, including well-documented increases in hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease (10). Similar observations were made of the Maori—"in spite of increasing use of Westernized foods the Maori will favor fatty foods and traditional seafoods if available" (11).

Such examples suggest that certain contemporary behaviors of humans with respect to foods may relate, at least in part, to non-immediate dietary circumstances. Obesity and its associated health problems and some other current diet-associated conditions (eg, lactose intolerance and celiac disease) seem inextricably bound up in past interactions between humans and their foods. Comparative study of only contemporary Western populations would not provide the temporal depth needed to understand the full etiology of these conditions. Also, for humans, food often is not consumed for its nutritional content but for its relation to the social context and cultural meanings that different

human societies attach to it. As biocultural beings, humans have and will continue to evolve largely in response to their own self-created environment. For this reason, it seems prudent to try to understand features of human dietary behavior and health in as broad a context as possible.

Katharine Milton

Department of Environmental Science,
Policy and Management
Division of Insect Biology
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720-3112
E-mail: kmilton@socrates.berkeley.edu

REFERENCES

1. Milton K. Hunter-gatherer diets—a different perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71:665–7.
2. Klein R. The human career: human biological and cultural origins. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1999.
3. Cassidy CM. Nutrition and health in agriculturalists and hunter-gatherers. In: Jerome NW, Kandel RF, Peltó G, eds. *Nutritional anthropology*. Pleasantville, NY: Redgrove Publishing Co, 1980:118–44.
4. Naughton JM, O’Dea K, Sinclair AJ. Animal foods in traditional Australian Aboriginal diets: polyunsaturated and low in fat. *Lipids* 1986;21:684–90.
5. Brand-Miller JC, Holt SHA. Australian Aboriginal plant foods: a consideration of their nutritional composition and health implications. *Nutr Res Rev* 1998;11:5–23.
6. Milton K. Nutritional characteristics of wild primate foods: do the natural diets of our closest living relatives have lessons for us? *Nutrition* 1999;15:488–98.
7. Milton K. Protein and carbohydrate resources of the Maku Indians of northwestern Amazonia. *Am Anthropol* 1984;86:7–27.
8. Eaton SB, Shostak M. Fat tooth blues. *Nat Hist* 1986;7:163–6.
9. Brown PJ, Konner M. An anthropological perspective on obesity. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1987;499:29–46.
10. Smith PA, Smith RM. Diets in transition: hunter-gatherer to station diet and station diet to the self-select store diet. *Hum Ecol* 1999;27:115–33.
11. Prior I, Tasman-Jones C. New Zealand Maori and Pacific Polynesians. In: Trowell HC, Burkitt DP, eds. *Western diseases: their emergence and prevention*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1981:227–67.

Use of compartmental analysis as a gold standard to compare against other methods for assessing fractional zinc absorption

Dear Sir:

In the article by Lowe et al (1), several experimental methods for assessing fractional zinc absorption (FZA) were compared in 6 women. A rigorous evaluation of the validity of the different experimental techniques is long overdue and we congratulate the authors for their attempt to clarify the issues concerning the various methods being used in different laboratories. The performance of each method was analyzed in relation

to the results of a compartmental model, developed and reported on previously (2), that used the same experimental data. Although we read Lowe et al’s article with interest, several issues need clarification.

The incorporation of 3 types of data (fecal, urinary, and plasma) was used as the justification for choosing the compartmental model as the gold standard to compare against other methods that used only one set of data (fecal, urinary, or plasma). We suggest that both the quantity and the quality of the data used should be the main criteria, but there is no information on quality, other than the fact that a constant fractional SD of 0.1 was used by the CONSAM program (3) when the tracer data sets were fit in the compartmental model. Are we to assume that the fecal, urinary, and plasma data all had the same uncertainty associated with them? This seems unlikely given that the sample preparations were all different and that the quantity of zinc in each sample varied widely. The precision of the parameter estimates from an earlier report by Lowe et al (2) was generally good, reflecting the excellent structure and design of the model. However, in 5 of the 6 subjects, the CV for the parameter associated with urinary excretion was >60%. On the basis of these results, we estimated that the removal of the urinary data from the model would not weaken it.

A criticism of any model is that it is just that: a model. The modeling process makes gross simplifications of the way the body works and any results from it should be scrutinized for false assumptions, unjustified complexity, and unsubstantiated claims of parameter precision. In Lowe et al’s (1) discussion, there was plenty of excellent, well-argued criticism of the other methods used to calculate FZA but no criticism of the compartmental model against which these other methods were compared. Attention should have been drawn to the shortcomings of using modeling in nutritional studies so that other investigators would not be left with the impression that the results from a compartmental model are beyond contradiction.

Another weakness of Lowe et al’s study (1) was the small number of data sets used. Detailed metabolic studies are often constrained by the resources available, thus limiting the number of subjects studied, the procedures that can be undertaken, or both. Although the results obtained from the different methods reviewed was interesting, the method of comparison used was not appropriate. The FZA calculated from the compartmental model is based on an equation containing 2 of the rate constants ($k_{1,5}$ and $k_{6,5}$), which are simultaneously fitted with the other rate constants to the data set provided. There are uncertainties associated with these parameters that were not stated in Lowe et al’s (1) article, although these uncertainties were addressed in their previous study (2) in which the compartmental model was developed. In their more recent article, Lowe et al (1) used the mean and SD of the FZA calculated from the compartmental model, generated from the 6 subjects, as their reference point. Calculation of the SD of the 6 results could give a misleading picture of how good the estimate of the reference FZA is. For instance, if the uncertainties concerning the rate constants $k_{1,5}$ and $k_{6,5}$ are large for each individual subject’s data, the corresponding uncertainty concerning each calculated FZA will be large. If, however, the difference between each of the 6 calculated FZAs is, by chance, small, the SD of the mean FZA will be small. This is the drawback to having only 6 data sets and it applies equally to other methods used to calculate FZA. The conclusion that “We therefore recommend the DITR technique